



AUDIT COMMITTEE

Tuesday 22 October 2019 at 2.00 p.m. Broadland District Council Thorpe Lodge, 1 Yarmouth Road, Norwich, NR7 0DU

AGENDA

Note for Members of the Public: If you have any specific requirements to enable you to attend the meeting, please contact the OPCCN (details overleaf) prior to the meeting.

Part 1 – Public Agenda

- 1. Welcome and Apologies
- 2. Declarations of Personal and/or Prejudicial Interests
- 3. To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 30 July 2019 *to follow*
- 4. Annual Audit letter for the year ended 31 March 2019
- 5. Internal Audit Reports from Head of Internal Audit (TIAA)
 - 2019/20 Plan Update Audit Progress Report
 - 2019/20 Follow Up Review
- 6. Draft Annual Audit Committee Report *to follow*
- 7. Audit Committee Self Assessment and Action Plan
- 8. Forward Work Plan Report from Chief Finance Officer

Part 2 – Private Agenda

- 8. Strategic Risk Register Update Report from Chief Executive and Chief Constable OPCCN and Norfolk Constabulary
- 9. Response to Local Audit Review Consultation *draft response to follow*
- 10. Update on Transport Investigation verbal

10. Date of Next Meeting

Tuesday 14 January 2020 at 2pm in the Filby Room.

Enquiries to:

OPCCN

Building 1, Jubilee House, Falconers Chase, Wymondham, Norfolk, NR18 0WW Direct Dial: 01953 424455 Email: <u>opccn@norfolk.pnn.police.uk</u>

如果您希望把这份资料翻译为国语,请致电 01953 424455 或发电子邮件至: opccn@norfolk.pnn.police.uk 联系诺福克警察和犯罪事务专员办公室。

Если вы хотите получить данный документ на русском языке, пожалуйста, обратитесь в Управление полиции и комиссии по рассмотрению правонарушений в графстве Норфолк по тел. 01953 424455 или по электронной почте: <u>opccn@norfolk.pnn.police.uk</u>

Se desejar obter uma cópia deste documento em português, por favor contacte o Gabinete do Comissário da Polícia e Crimes através do 01953 424455 ou pelo e-mail: <u>opccn@norfolk.pnn.police.uk</u>

Jei šio dokumento kopiją norėtumėte gauti lietuvių kalba, prašome susisiekti su Policijos ir nusikalstamumo komisarų tarnyba Norfolko grafystėje (Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk) telefonu 01953 424455 arba elektroninio pašto adresu <u>opccn@norfolk.pnn.police.uk</u>

Jeśli chcieliby Państwo otrzymać kopię niniejszego dokumentu w języku polskim, prosimy skontaktować się z władzami policji hrabstwa Norfolk (Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk) pod numerem 01953 424455 lub pisać na: opecn@norfolk.pnn.police.uk

The Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk and the Chief Constable of Norfolk

Annual Audit Letter for the year ended 31 March 2019

12 August 2019



Contents



Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) have issued a 'Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies'. It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the PSAA website (<u>www.psaa.co.uk</u>).

This Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The 'Terms of Appointment (updated April 2018)' issued by PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Annual Audit Letter is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Members of the audited body, and is prepared for their sole use. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure - If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.



01 Executive Summary

Executive Summary

We are required to issue an Annual Audit Letter to the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk (PCC) and the Chief Constable of Norfolk (CC) following completion of our audit procedures for the year ended 31 March 2019. Below are the results and conclusions on the significant areas of the audit process.

Area of Work	Conclusion
Opinion on the Group, PCC and CC's:▶ Financial statements	Unqualified - the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Group, PCC and CC respectively as at 31 March 2019 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended
 Consistency of other information published with the financial statements 	Other information published with the financial statements was consistent with the Annual Accounts
Concluding on the PCC and CC's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness	We concluded that you have put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money in your use of resources
Area of Work	Conclusion
Reports by exception:	
Reports by exception:Consistency of Annual Governance Statements	The Annual Governance Statements for both the PCC and the CC were consistent with our understanding of the Group.
 Consistency of Annual Governance Statements 	the Group.

Area of Work	Conclusion
Reporting to the National Audit Office (NAO) on our review of the Group's Whole of Government Accounts return (WGA).	The Group is below the specified audit threshold of £500 million specified by the National Audit Office. Therefore, we did not perform any audit procedures on the consolidation pack.



As a result of the above we have also:

Area of Work	Conclusion
Issued a report to those charged with governance of the Group, PCC and CC communicating significant findings resulting from our audit.	Our Audit Results Report was issued on 18 July 2019 for the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk and the Chief Constable of Norfolk (joint report).
Issued a certificate that we have completed the audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice.	Our certificate was issued on 31 July 2019.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the staff at Norfolk Police for their assistance during the course of our work.

MARK HODGSON

Mark Hodgson Associate Partner For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP



02 Purpose and Responsibilities



The Purpose of this Letter

The purpose of this Annual Audit Letter is to communicate to Members and external stakeholders, including members of the public, the key issues arising from our work, which we consider should be brought to the attention of the Group.

We have already reported the detailed findings from our audit work in our 2018/19 Audit Results Report to the 30 July 2019 meeting of the Joint Audit Committee, representing those charged with governance. We do not repeat those detailed findings in this letter. The matters reported here are the most significant for the Group.

Responsibilities of the Appointed Auditor

Our 2018/19 audit work has been undertaken in accordance with the Audit Plan that we issued on 3 January 2019 and is conducted in accordance with the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), and other guidance issued by the National Audit Office.

As auditors we are responsible for:

- ► Expressing an opinion:
 - ▶ On the 2018/19 financial statements; and
 - On the consistency of other information published with the financial statements.
- ► Forming a conclusion on the arrangements the Group has to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.
- Reporting by exception:
 - ▶ If the annual governance statements are misleading or not consistent with our understanding of the Group;
 - ► Any significant matters that are in the public interest;
 - ▶ Any written recommendations to the Group, which should be copied to the Secretary of State; and
 - ▶ If we have discharged our duties and responsibilities as established by thy Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and Code of Audit Practice.

Alongside our work on the financial statements, we also review and report to the National Audit Office (NAO) on you Whole of Government Accounts return. The Group is below the specified audit threshold of £500 million. Therefore, we did not perform any audit procedures on the return.

Responsibilities of the Police

The Group are responsible for preparing and publishing their respective statements of accounts accompanied by an Annual Governance Statement for each set of accounts for the PCC and the CC. In the Annual Governance Statements, the PCC and the CC reports publicly each year on how far they comply with their own code of governance, including how they have monitored and evaluated the effectiveness of their governance arrangements in year, and any changes planned in the coming period.

The Group is also responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.



Financial Statement Audit



Key Issues

The Group's Statements of Accounts is an important tool for the Group to show how it has used public money and how it can demonstrate its financial management and financial health.

We audited the Group's Statements of Accounts in line with the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), and other guidance issued by the National Audit Office and issued an ungualified audit report for the PCC and the CC on 31 July 2019.

Our detailed findings were reported to the 30 July 2019 Joint Audit Committee.

The key issues identified as part of our audit were as follows:

Significant Risks	Conclusion
Misstatements due to fraud or error	
As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.	We did not identify any material weaknesses in controls or evidence of material management override. We have not identified any instances of inappropriate judgements being applied or management bias.
Local authorities have a statutory duty to balance their annual budget and are operating in a financially challenged environment with reducing levels of government funding and increasing demand for services. Achievement of budget is critical to minimizing the impact and usage of the	We did not identify any other transactions during our audit which appeared unusual or outside the normal course of business.
Authority's usable reserves and provides a basis for the following year's budget. Any deficit outturn against the budget is therefore not a desirable outcome for the authority and management, and therefore this desire to achieve budget increases the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated.	Our audit work found no evidence of any inappropriate capitalisation of revenue expenditure.

Taking these pressures into account we have concluded that there is a risk of management manipulation of revenue expenditure to re-classify it as capital to improve the financial position over the medium term.

Financial Statement Audit (cont'd)

The key issues identified as part of our audit were as follows: (cont'd)

Other Risks	Conclusion
Valuation of Land and Buildings	
Property, Plant and Equipment represent a significant balance in the Group and PCC's accounts and are subject to valuation changes, impairment reviews and depreciation charges.	We concluded that the Property, Plant and Equipment valuations are materially correct.
Management is required to make material judgemental inputs and apply estimation techniques to calculate the year-end balances recorded in the Balance Sheet for land and buildings in particular.	
The PCC will engage an external expert valuer who will apply a number of complex assumptions to these assets. Annually assets are assessed to identify whether there is any indication of impairment.	
As the PCC's asset base is significant, and the outputs from the valuer are subject to estimation, there is a risk fixed assets may be under/overstated.	
Pension asset valuation The Group is required to make extensive disclosures within its financial statements regarding its membership of the Local Government Pension Scheme administered by Norfolk County Council and the Police Pension Fund. Accounting for these schemes involves significant estimation and judgement and therefore management engages an actuary to undertake the calculations on their behalf. We are required to undertake procedures on the assumptions of the actuary.	We are satisfied that the required IAS 19 disclosures have been reflected in the financial statements and are based on accurate supporting information. McCloud Ruling A national issue resulted in a relatively late change to the pension fund accounts and IAS 19 fund liability disclosure. This related to legal rulings regarding age discrimination arising from public sector pension scheme transitional arrangements, commonly described as the McCloud ruling. Revised actuarial reports provided by the actuaries showed an increase in the liability of £75.6 million to the Chief Constable's Pension Liabilities and £2.3 million to the Police and Crime Commissioner's Pension Liabilities as a result of the adjustments, with further associated disclosure added to recognise this as a source of estimation uncertainty and an adjusted post balance sheet event. The impact of the Guaranteed Minimum Pension ruling was also taken into account in these adjustments.

Financial Statement Audit (cont'd)

The key issues identified as part of our audit were as follows: (cont'd)

Other Risks	Conclusion
 Public Finance Initiative (PFI) valuation The PCC and CC discloses two PFI contracts within their financial statements for: The use of Jubilee House, Operations and Communications Centre at Wymondham from 2001 until 2037; and The use of six Police Investigation Centres shared with the Police and Crime Commissioner for Suffolk from 2011 until 2041. The liability and payments for services are dependent upon assumptions within the accounting models underpinning both PFI schemes. As such Management is required to apply estimation techniques to support the disclosures within the financial statements. 	 We identified one non material judgemental difference which was not adjusted for within the financial statements on the basis of materiality. The PFI accounting model used by management assumes the minimum lease rentals match an element of the unitary charge. This methodology risks including cash in contingent rentals that do not meet the definition in IAS 17. The impact of this in 2018/19 was £938,000. We concluded that the estimate used by management was within a reasonable tolerance and therefore the PFI has been accounted for appropriately within the accounts although we reported the difference for consideration by management and those charged with governance. Recommendation: We would recommend that the PCC considers updating the model in relation to IAS17 definitions.
 IFRS 9 financial instruments This new accounting standard changes: How financial assets are classified and measured; How the impairment of financial assets are calculated; and The disclosure requirements for financial assets. There are transitional arrangements within the standard; and the 2018/19 CIPFA Code of Practice on local authority accounting provides guidance on the application of IFRS 9. 	We concluded that IFRS 9 financial instruments had been applied correctly.
IFRS 15 Revenue from contracts with customers This new accounting standard covers the identification of performance obligations under customer contracts and the linking of income to the meeting of those performance obligations. The impact on local authority accounting is likely to be limited as large revenue streams like council tax, non domestic rates and government grants will be outside the scope of IFRS 15. However where that standard is relevant, the recognition of revenue will change and new disclosure requirements introduced.	We agreed with the PCC and CC's conclusion that IFRS 15 had not had a material impact on the financial statements. This reflects the nature of revenue in effect and the fact that the majority of the revenue does not meet the specific criteria to satisfy applicability under IFRS 15. This is consistent with our findings elsewhere within the sector.

Our application of materiality

When establishing our overall audit strategy, we determined a magnitude of uncorrected misstatements that we judged would be material for the financial statements as a whole.

Materiality	Planning materiality	Operating expenditure or Assets	Audit differences
Group	£5.039 million	£251.9 million (expenditure)	£251,000
Chief Constable	£4.626 million	£231.339 million (expenditure)	£231,000
Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner	£2.213 million	£110.661 million (assets)	£110,000
Police Pension statement	£931,000	£46.5 million (expenditure)	£46,500

ItemThresholds appliedReporting thresholdWe agreed with the Joint Audit Committee that we would report to the Committee all audit differences in excess of £110,000.





We are required to consider whether the Group has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. This is known as our value for money conclusion.

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- ► Take informed decisions;
- ▶ Deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- ▶ Work with partners and other third parties.



We did not identify any significant risks in relation to these criteria.

We did not identify any significant weaknesses in the PCC and CC's arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people.

We therefore issued an unqualified value for money conclusion on 31 July 2019.



05 Other Reporting Issues



Other Reporting Issues

Whole of Government Accounts

We performed the procedures required by the National Audit Office on the accuracy of the consolidation pack prepared by the Group for Whole of Government Accounts purposes. We had no issues to report.

The Group is below the specified audit threshold of £500 million. Therefore, we did not perform any audit procedures on the consolidation pack.

Annual Governance Statement

We are required to consider the completeness of disclosures in the PCC's and the CC's Annual Governance Statements, identify any inconsistencies with the other information of which we are aware from our work, and consider whether it is misleading.

We completed this work and did not identify any areas of concern.

Report in the Public Interest

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to consider whether, in the public interest, to report on any matter that comes to our attention in the course of the audit in order for it to be considered by the Group or brought to the attention of the public.

We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a report in the public interest.

Written Recommendations

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to designate any audit recommendation as one that requires the Group to consider it at a public meeting and to decide what action to take in response.

We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a written recommendation.



Other Reporting Issues (cont'd)

Objections Received

We did not receive any objections to the 2018/19 financial statements from members of the public.

Other Powers and Duties

We identified no issues during our audit that required us to use our additional powers under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

Independence

We communicated our assessment of independence in our Audit Results Report to the Joint Audit Committee on the 30 July 2019. In our professional judgement the firm is independent and the objectivity of the audit engagement partner and audit staff has not been compromised within the meaning regulatory and professional requirements.

Control Themes and Observations

Under ISA (UK&I) 265 it is mandatory to communicate significant deficiencies in internal control in writing to any audit client. Unless the audit team has used the 'Management Letter template' to communicate significant deficiencies, it is mandatory to use this section if there are any.

It is the responsibility of the Group to develop and implement systems of internal financial control and to put in place proper arrangements to monitor their adequacy and effectiveness in practice. Our responsibility as your auditor is to consider whether the Group has put adequate arrangements in place to satisfy itself that the systems of internal financial control are both adequate and effective in practice.

As part of our audit of the financial statements, we obtained an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan our audit and determine the nature, timing and extent of testing performed. As we have adopted a fully substantive approach, we have therefore not tested the operation of controls.

Although our audit was not designed to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control we are required to communicate to you significant deficiencies in internal control.

We have not identified any significant deficiencies in the design or operation of an internal control that might result in a material misstatement in your financial statements of which you are not aware.



O7 Focused on your future

Focused on your future

The Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom introduces the application of new accounting standards in future years. The impact on the PCC and the CC is summarised in the table below.

Standard	Issue	Impact
IFRS 16 Leases	It is currently proposed that IFRS 16 will be applicable for local authority accounts from the 2020/21 financial year.	Until the 2020/21 Accounting Code is issued and any statutory overrides are confirmed there remains some uncertainty in this
	Whilst the definition of a lease remains similar to the current leasing standard; IAS 17, for local authorities who lease a large number of assets the new standard will have a significant impact, with nearly all current leases being included on the balance sheet.	However, what is clear is that the PCC and the CC will need to undertake a detailed exercise to identify all of its leases and capture the relevant information for them. The PCC and the CC must
	There are transitional arrangements within the standard and although the 2020/21 Accounting Code of Practice for Local Authorities has yet to be issued, CIPFA have issued some limited provisional information which begins to clarify what the impact on local authority accounting will be. Whether any accounting statutory overrides will be introduced to mitigate any impact remains an outstanding issue.	therefore ensure that all lease arrangements are fully documented.
IASB Conceptual Framework	The revised IASB Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting (Conceptual Framework) will be applicable for local authority accounts from the 2019/20	It is not anticipated that this change to the Code will have a material impact on Local Authority financial statements.
	financial year.	However, the PCC and the CC will need to undertake a review to
	This introduces;	determine whether current classifications and accounting remains valid under the revised definitions.
	 new definitions of assets, liabilities, income and expenses updates for the inclusion of the recognition process and criteria and new provisions on derecognition enhanced guidance on accounting measurement bases enhanced objectives for financial reporting and the qualitative aspects of financial information. 	
	The conceptual frameworks is not in itself an accounting standard and as such it cannot be used to override or disapply the requirements of any applicable accounting standards.	
	However, an understanding of concepts and principles can be helpful to preparers of local authority financial statements when considering the treatment of transactions or events where standards do not provide specific guidance, or where a choice of accounting policies is available.	





Our final fee for 2018/19 as expected, at the scale fee set by the PSAA and reported in our 18 July 2019 Annual Results Report.

	Final Fee 2018/19	Planned Fee 2018/19	Scale Fee 2018/19	Final Fee 2017/18
	£'s	£'s	£'s	£'s
Total Audit Fee - PCC Code work	Note 1	26,045	26,045	37,898
Total Audit Fee - CC Code work	Note 1	11,550	11,550	20,387
Total		37,595	37,595	58,285

Note 1: As a result of the additional time spent on the audit of the PCC and the CC financial statements in relation to the audit adjustments and McCloud issue, we will need to charge an additional audit fee and so cannot confirm our final fee for 2018/19 at this stage.

We will discuss and agree our proposed additional fee with the Chief Finance Officers before gaining formal approval from Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) for the scale fee variation. We will report our final fee to you in a separate fee letter.

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

© 2018 EYGM Limited. All Rights Reserved.

ED None

EY-000070901-01 (UK) 07/18. CSG London.

In line with EY's commitment to minimise its impact on the environment, this document has been printed on paper with a high recycled content.

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax, or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.

ey.com



Police and Crime Commissioners for Norfolk and Suffolk and Chief Constables of Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies

Audit Progress Report – Norfolk



INTRODUCTION

1. This summary report provides an update on the progress of our work at the Police and Crime Commissioners for Norfolk and Suffolk and Chief Constables of Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies as at October 2019. The report is based on internal audit work carried out by TIAA and management representations that have been received during the period since our last progress report.

PROGRESS AGAINST THE 2019/20 ANNUAL PLAN

2. Our progress against the Annual Plan for 2019/20 is set out in Appendix A. The results of these reviews are summarised at Appendix B.

AUDITS COMPLETED SINCE THE LAST REPORT TO COMMITTEE

5. The table below sets out details of audits finalised since the previous meeting of the Audit Committee.

			Number of Recommendations					
Review	Evaluation	Draft issued	Responses Received	Final issued	1	2	3	OE
2020 Strategic Change Programme	Substantial	20/09/2019	20/09/2019	25/09/2019	0	0	0	0
Dog Handling	Limited	07/08 & 19/09/2019	03/10/2019	07/10/2019	1	6	0	0
Budgetary Control	Substantial	18/09/2019	26/09/2019	02/10/2019	0	0	1	0

Copies of the finalised reports are available to Audit Committee Members on request. The details for Norfolk only reports will only be included in the Norfolk progress report.

CHANGES TO THE ANNUAL PLAN 2019/20

6. There has been no changes made to the annual plan since the last meeting.



FRAUDS/IRREGULARITIES

7. We regularly liaise with PSD regarding any work streams that may be relevant for internal audit.

LIAISON

- 8. Liaison is undertaken with the following:
 - Liaison with the Chief Finance Officers and ACOs: Regular progress meetings are held with the Chief Finance Officers and ACOs.
 - Liaison with Risk Management: Increased liaison has commenced, to directly link internal audit with risk management.
 - Liaison with External Audit: We have liaised with EY during the year and kept them informed of our work and will make available to them all final audit reports.

PROGRESS ACTIONING PRIORITY 1 (URGENT and NOT APPROVED RECOMMENDATIONS)

- 9. We have not made any urgent recommendations (i.e. fundamental control issues) since the previous Progress Report.
- 10. We have made no recommendations which have not been approved by management since the previous Progress Report.

RESPONSIBILITY/DISCLAIMER

12. This report has been prepared solely for management's use and must not be recited or referred to in whole or in part to third parties without our prior written consent. The matters raised in this report not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all the improvements that might be made. No responsibility to any third party is accepted as the report has not been prepared, and is not intended, for any other purpose. TIAA neither owes nor accepts any duty of care to any other party who may receive this report and specifically disclaims any liability for loss, damage or expense of whatsoever nature, which is caused by their reliance on our report.

Appendix A

Progress against the Annual Plan for 2019/20

System	Audit Ref	Planned Quarter	Planned Days	Changes to Days	Current Status	Audit Committee Reporting	Assurance	Comments
2019/20 Plan								
2020 Strategic Change Programme (Norfolk)	NSC2001	1	9		Final Report	October 2019	Substantial	Norfolk only
2025 Strategic Change Programme (Suffolk)	NSC2002	1	9		Final Report	October 2019	Substantial	Suffolk only
Workplace Health	NSC2005	1	12		Final Report	July 2019	Reasonable	
Temporary Contracts	NSC2006	1	10		Final Report	July 2019	Reasonable	
Estates Maintenance	NSC2007	1	12		Final Report	July 2019	Reasonable	
Contracts	NSC2008	1	12		Final Report	July 2019	Reasonable	
Single Tender Actions	NSC2012	1	6		Final Report	July 2019	Reasonable	
Firearms	NSC2009	1	12		Draft report		Reasonable	
Dog Handling	NSC2029	1	0	+2	Final report	October 2019	Limited	
Stores and Uniform	NSC2010	1	14		Draft report		Reasonable	
Emergency Preparedness	NSC2011	2	14		Draft Report		Reasonable	
Data Protection – GDPR	NSC2016	2	12		Draft report		Limited	
Budgetary Control	NSC2018	2	12		Final Report	October 2019	Substantial	
Complaints	NSC2022	2	0	+8	In progress			
Limited Duties	NSC2024	2	12		Draft Report			
Transport Stores Security	NSC2030	2	0	+2	Draft Report			Agreed by ACOs/CFOs
Allowances and Expenses	NSC2027	3	14		In progress			Moved to Q3



Police and Crime Commissioners for Norfolk and Suffolk and Chief Constables of Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies Internal Audit Progress Report

System	Audit Ref	Planned Quarter	Planned Days	Changes to Days	Current Status	Audit Committee Reporting	Assurance	Comments
Network Security	NSC2003	3	12		Scheduled			Moved to Q3
Software Licencing	NSC2004	3	12		To be scheduled			Moved to Q3
Mobile Device Management	NSC2017	3	12		To be scheduled			Moved to Q3
Website Content	NSC2019	3	12		To be scheduled			Moved to Q3
Telematics / Use of Vehicles	NSC2026	3	12	-10	Scheduled			Days reduced
Key Financials	NSC2021	3	30		Scheduled			
External Training Budget	NSC2025	4	12		Scheduled			Moved to Q4 – Requested by Mgt
Information Management / Security – Norfolk OPCC	NSC2031	4	0	+8	Scheduled			Requested by Mgt
Transformation – Business Cases	NSC2015	4	12		Scheduled			Moved to Q4 – Requested by Mgt
Strategic Control and Governance	NSC2013	4	12		Scheduled			Moved to Q4 – Requested by Mgt
Risk Management	NSC2020	4	12	-2	Scheduled			Days to dog handling
Overtime	NSC2023	4	12		Scheduled			
Collaborations (incl. Seven Force)	NSC2028	4	20		To be scheduled			
Shared Service Transaction Centre	NSC2022	3	8	-8				Project completion 2020
Follow up of previous recommendations		1-4	12		Ongoing			
Management		1-4	20		Ongoing			
Total Days Planned			376					
Annual Plan Days			330					
Contingency b/fwd			46					
Contingency (c/fwd)			(0)					



KEY:

=	To be commenced
=	Site work commenced
=	Draft report issued
=	Final report issued

2019/20

Appendix B

Summaries of Finalised Audit Reports issued since the last report

LIMITED ASSURANCE

Audit Report: Norfolk 2020 (NSC2001)

Report: September 2019

SCOPE	KEY FINDINGS						
 The audit focused on the following key areas in relation to the Norfolk 2020 team: Governance and strategy Approval process for business cases Accuracy of records maintained Monitoring and reporting arrangements MATERIALITY Norfolk constabulary are facing increasing demand for services as well as ongoing financial challenges, creating an ongoing need to review services and resources. There are currently 19 projects being undertaken.	 The Norfolk 2020 team was established to shape a local policing service, developing improvements in efficiency and effectiveness through new ways of working, including the use of advancements in technology, social media and multi-agency working. An implementation board has been established to approve and monitor progress of projects undertaken by Norfolk 2020. Project plans are in place for all projects undertaken by the Norfolk 2020 team. Regular updates are being provided on the progress of the projects. 						
OVERALL ASSURANCE ASSESSMENT	ACTION POINTS						
SUBSTANTIAL ASSURANCE	Urgent Important Routine Operational						

2019/20

Audit Report: Dog Handling (NSC2029)

Report: October 2019

SCOPE

The audit considered the adequacy of controls in place in relation to management of police dogs across Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies.

The audit focused on the training element for police dogs, and covered the following key areas:

- Policies and procedure;
- Systems and processes for recording of firearms training;
- Accuracy of records maintained;
- Monitoring and reporting arrangements.

MATERIALITY

The dogs section is a joint team. The dogs section is managed by one Inspector and consists of 24 police constables. At the time of audit, a police dog handler was being trained up. All but three of the police dog handlers have two dogs. At the time of audit there were 45 police dogs.

KEY FINDINGS

The Temporary Inspector Armed Policing and Dogs has recently been assigned with responsibility for managing the police dogs function in addition to armed policing.

- The police dogs section no longer has a designated Police Dog Sergeant. The police dog handlers are assigned a sergeants within roads policing. The sergeants are not experienced police dog handlers.
- General police dog and drug police dogs have not received the required level of mandatory training.
- Police dog handlers training is not factored into dog handlers shift patterns. Dog handlers are frequently taken off training on a call out to address operational issues. In addition, training days do not factor in travelling time, reducing the level of training provided.
- An annual risk assessment is not undertaken to establish the number of police dogs and handlers.
- The systems for recording the number, usage, training and deployment of police dogs and handlers is not managing the service effectively.



OVERALL ASSURANCE ASSESSMENT

ACTION POINTS



Recommendations – Urgent (Priority 1), Important (Priority 2) and Not Approved

Report Ref	Risk Area	Finding	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Implementati on Timetable (dd/mm/yy)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)
6	Compliance	General purpose dogs and the five drug dogs selected to test had not received the required amount of training. Only the EXPLO dogs had received the required level of training last year, this could be as the EXPLO dogs have set training days and national exercises that they must attend, which are factored into shift patterns. Whilst the EXPLO dogs currently have received the required amount of training. The constabularies had to cancel the EXPLO handlers' attendance on the first exercise this year due to needing a large amount of EXPLO dogs for RAVIPP duties around the flower show time. The EXPLO handlers have been booked to attend the September course, but if the dogs don't attend the September course then they would not have received sufficient training this year.	developed, to ensure that dog handlers' training requirements are identified and targets set to ensure that dog handlers receive the required training, with mandatory training to be		Explo training re-scheduled and all handlers booked on. This will ensure they remain legal. This action is complete. Course requirement has been mapped for next year including GP, specialist and explo, with a training calendar to be produced. Completion by 31/01/20. A PC was seconded to assist with catching up and co-ordinating training. Due to sickness /operations /abstractions he was required to fill gaps on shift over this period. An audit conducted at the end of the period revealed we were no further forward. All handlers are booked in to attend a 3 day GP catch up after Christmas, to bring them up to date. This is the earliest timing due to minimum numbers on shift, injuries, abstractions and winter court. Completion by 31/03/20. Handlers have been asked to prioritise training over all non-critical/threat to life CADs and both control rooms are aware. This is ongoing.	31/03/20	Insp 3051 HAMMERTON

tiaa

Police and Crime Commissioners for Norfolk and Suffolk and Chief Constables of Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies Internal Audit Progress Report

Report Ref	Risk Area	Finding	Finding Recommendation		Management Comments	Implementati on Timetable (dd/mm/yy)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)
1	Directed	establishment. Management of the police dogs falls directly to the Inspector Armed Policing and Dogs.	support and management of police dog handlers be	2	Peer review requested by Essex police to look at the dog section as a whole, including shifts, training regime, supervision and admin. A bid for a dog Sergeant has been submitted as a cost pressure through OBB 2020/21.	01/12/19	Insp 3051 HAMMERTON
2	Directed	An annual risk assessment has not been undertaken to identify the number of police dogs and handlers needed for the constabularies.	undertaken to identify the	2	There is no "Dog STRA" and it is understood that none of the forces in the region have such a document. Developing an accurate STRA for N&S would currently be difficult because of the method used to capture data of activity, this is under review and an annual risk assessment will be considered once complete. An initial date of 31/03/20 is provided for review / consideration.	31/03/20	Insp 3051 HAMMERTON

tiaa

Police and Crime Commissioners for Norfolk and Suffolk and Chief Constables of Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies Internal Audit Progress Report

Report Ref	Risk Area	Finding	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Implementati on Timetable (dd/mm/yy)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)
3	Directed	The records currently maintained for dog handling and training are not effectively managing the service. Without an accurate record there is a risk that police dog handlers do not undertake all required training.	managing the police dogs, handler training, the deployment of dogs and monitoring of the		To be progressed after peer review in November 2019. Chronicle would be the preferred option, however in the interim we will review our training forms to ensure they are fit for purpose.	31/12/19	Insp 3051 HAMMERTON
4	Directed	Police dog handlers training is not factored into shift patterns, as such it is difficult to ensure that appropriate training is undertaken.	for police dog handlers be		Without dedicated training days factored in, it will be difficult to populate training on set days – RMU have begun attempting to roster training but this isn't always possible. The peer review should highlight this issue and work is being undertaken to look at a better pattern with dedicated training days. The timeline for shift review will be 31/03/20.	31/03/20	Insp 3051 HAMMERTON

tiaa

Police and Crime Commissioners for Norfolk and Suffolk and Chief Constables of Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies Internal Audit Progress Report

Report Ref	Risk Area	Finding	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Implementati on Timetable (dd/mm/yy)	Responsible Officer (Job Title)
5	Directed	A trainer has been identified and assigned within each team to facilitate training. A training day should consist of eight hours training, but currently travel time is not considered within the training time. Thus, if the trainer and/or the trainee spends two hours travelling to and travelling back from the training, the actual training time will be four hours and not the required eight hours. There is a need to review how training is recorded and delivered as actual training received is not in agreement with what is planned to be delivered.	dog handlers training days to ensure that actual training hours received is in agreement with training hours that have been planned for.	2	Set training days should make this easier, a pattern with a dedicated week for training will ensure handlers are getting what they require. It will also improve team cohesion, as Norfolk and Suffolk handlers will come together to train. Training should be as a team rather than 1:1 as this is good practice, the current system does not support this. A date of 31/12/19 is provided for peer review results, following which the timeline for shift review, with a view to implementation 31/03/20.		Insp 3051 HAMMERTON
7	Compliance	A report was obtained from payroll of who was receiving a police dog handler's allowance. The report was checked against the records maintained by the police dogs team, to ensure that only current police dog handlers were being paid a dog handlers allowance. Whilst it was confirmed that only those being paid a police dog handlers allowance were current police dog handlers, there was one handler that was being paid the allowance for two police dogs, when they appear to only have one general police dog.	between payroll and the police dogs records to ensure that they are in agreement.	2	Checks with payroll confirmed that all handlers are being paid the correct allowance. No handler is claiming/being paid for anything they are not entitled to. A new policy is ready to be published, however this is on hold for a chief officer decision on dog vans and allowing handlers to take these home.	01/12/19	Insp 3051 HAMMERTON
Audit Report: Budgetary Control (NSC2018)

Report: September 2019

SCOPE	KE	Y FINDINGS			
The audit covered the budgetary control arrangements for each of the PCCs and the Constabularies. The audit focused on the following areas: budget setting, delegations of responsibility, financial Information, variations analysis, and management information and reporting. MATERIALITY	sub Mor Bus Sigi Crir Tes	missions on potent nthly budget reports siness Partners. nificant variances w me Commissioners.	al savings prepared ar are produced and disc ithin the budget are rep	nd reviewed by chall cussed between bud ported to senior offic	budgets, with detailed lenge panels. dget holders and Finance cers and to the Police and the Estates and Facilities
OVERALL ASSURANCE ASSESSMENT	АСТ	ION POINTS			
But de elective sovernance, risk and control in		Urgent	Important	Routine	Operational
SUBSTANTIAL ASSURANCE		0	0	1	0
LIMITED ASSURANCE NO ASSURANCE					





Police and Crime Commissioners for Norfolk and Suffolk and Chief Constables of Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies

Follow Up Review

2019/20

2019/20



Executive Summary

INTRODUCTION

- 1. The follow up of internal audit recommendations undertaken by TIAA is undertaken throughout the year and reported to the Audit Committee during the year at each meeting.
- 2. The summary tables show the number of raised and brought forward priority 1 and priority 2 recommendations implemented since being reported to the July 2019 Audit Committee meeting and those outstanding past their implementation dates.

Evaluation	P1 - Urgent Recommendations	P2 - Important Recommendations	Total	July '19 Position for comparison
	Number	Number		Total
Implemented Since Last Meeting	1	11	12	4
New Recommendations Added Since Last Meeting (without revised dates)	0	11	11	16
All Outstanding – Past Original Deadline (incl. Deadlines Extended*)	2*(2)	46*(24)	48*(26)	45
Original Deadline Not Yet Reached	0	14	14	15

Figure 1 - Summary of the action taken on Recommendations made

KEY FINDINGS

- 3. A new process was introduced to report outstanding recommendations to Organisational Board and for officers to notify internal audit of updates. This process is not effectively communicating progress and as such internal audit will revert to the previous method of liaising with individual officers.
- 4. It is noted that the majority of recommendations continuing to remain outstanding are largely due to resource and IT requirements to be sourced. Long standing recommendations have been retained on the report where relevant and risks are still present that require addressing.
- 5. There is one recommendation to be closed as the risk is accepted by management, for expenses (NSC1823) and 10 requiring a further extension of time to complete.
- 6. There are three large projects in progress that affect recommendations in relation to L&D Skills (NSC1804), Duty Management System (NSC1707 and NSC1916) and MoPI (NSC1818). The recommendations in relation to the three distinct areas are summarised here instead of reproducing all related recommendations:
 - 6.1 L&D Skills (NSC1804) this issue is around the skills data held by Learning and Development, the timescales were ambitious, following which the service manager has left the Constabularies.
 - A draft evaluation strategy has been developed. Since this time a new Change and Evaluation Manager has been appointed. They have been tasked to develop this strategy further and publish.
 - With regards to Chronicle, the business case continues to be evaluated through the Motor Risk Board. The Driver Management module is being implemented, to go live by Christmas. A business case for the other modules is being developed.
 - A revised date of 31st January 2020 has been applied for an update on progress.
 - 6.2 Duty Management System (NSC1707 and NSC1916) This is regarding several areas of risk within the current system that requires investment to improve.
 - This is being incorporated into a project for DMS. The upgrade is being tested and will go live January 2020.
 - 6.3 MoPI Project (NSC1818) this is regarding the development of Genie and working towards MoPI compliance. Further update on progress towards MoPI is required.



				Completed since last Audit Committee		Outsta / Ove		Outstar wit Exten Peri Reque	h ded od	Outstanding with Extended Period Agreed & Not Reached		Not Yet Due To Be Implemented	
				P1	P2	P1	P2	P1	P2	P1	P2	P1	P2
Audit Ref	Audit Area	Date Presented to Audit Committee	Assurance Level										
2016/17 Inter	rnal Audit Reviews												
NSC1714	Overtime, Expenses	Mar-17	Reasonable								1		
2017/18 Internal Audit Reviews													
NSC1814	Risk Management	May-18	Reasonable		1								
NSC1817	Data Quality - Athena	Nov-17	Limited								1		
NSC1823	Overtime, Expenses	May-18	Limited		1								
NSC1829	Payroll	Mar-18	Limited								1		
2018/19 Inter	rnal Audit Reviews												
NSC1901	Governance and Whistleblowing	Mar-19	Reasonable						3				
NSC1906	Enact Replacement	Nov-18	Reasonable				1						
NSC1909	Key Financials	Mar-19	Reasonable		1		1						1
NSC1910	Capital Programme	Nov-18	Reasonable				1						
NSC1912	Allowances	Nov-18	Limited	1					1		1		
NSC1915	Establishment	Mar-19	Reasonable				1		2				
NSC1917	Vetting	Nov-18	Reasonable				1						1
NSC1918	Transport Services - Strategy	Jul-19	Reasonable						2				
NSC1920	Telematics and Fuel Usage	Jul-19	Reasonable								1		1
NSC1922	Stations	Nov-18	Reasonable								1		1
NSC1925	Control Room - Suffolk	Jul-19	Reasonable				1						



2019/20	20	19	/20
---------	----	----	-----

				Completed since last Audit Committee			Outstanding / Overdue		Outsta wit Exten Peri Reque	h ided od ested	Outsta wit Exten Peri Agree No Reac	h ded od ed & ot	Not Yet Due To Be Implemented	
				P1	P2		P1	P2	P1	P2	P1	P2	P1	P2
Audit Ref	Audit Area	Date Presented to Audit Committee	Assurance Level											
NSC1927	Proceeds of Crime	Jul-19	Reasonable		1									2
NSC1928	Recovered Property	Jul-19	Reasonable		1									1
NSC1929	Lone Working	Nov-18	Reasonable		1									
NSC1930	Mar-19	Reasonable					3							
2019/2	20 Internal Audit Reviews													
NSC2005	Workplace Health	Jul-19	Reasonable											2
NSC2006	Temporary Contracts	Jul-19	Reasonable		1					2				
NSC2007	Estates Maintenance	Jul-19	Reasonable		1			1						1
NSC2008	Contracts	Jul-19	Reasonable		2			1						
NSC2012	Single Tender Actions	Jul-19	Reasonable					1						
TOTALS				1	10	0	0	12	0	10	0	6	0	10
DMS	Project and L&D Project													
NSC1804	L&D Skills	Jul-18	Limited		1						1	6		
NSC1818	MOPI Project	Jul-18	Limited								1	4		
NSC1707	Limited									3				
NSC1916	ISC1916 Duty Management Nov-18 Limited											5		4
DMS Project and L8	MS Project and L&D Project Totals						0	0	0	0	2	18	0	4
TOTALS	DTALS						0	12	0	10	2	24	0	14

THE BREAKDOWN OF THE ACTIONS ON RECOMMENDATIONS KEY:

- The direction of travel for implementing recommendations is shown from right to left.
- The audit will remain on the table until all P1 and P2 recommendations relating to that audit are complete and reported as such to Audit Committee. Once an audit is reported as complete (highlighted in grey), the audit will be removed from the table.
- Outstanding with extended period agreed outstanding past original deadline and an extension has been agreed with management.
- Outstanding and previously reported as such to Audit Committee outstanding past agreed deadline and no extension has been agreed.
- New since the last Audit Committee meeting deadline has recently passed and the recommendation is outstanding.
- Not yet due for implementation the agreed implementation deadline has not been reached.

SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS OF THE REVIEW

- 7. The review considers the progress made in implementing the recommendations made in the previous internal audit reports and to establish the extent to which management has taken the necessary actions to address the control issues that gave rise to the internal audit recommendations. The implementation of these recommendations can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance against misstatement or loss.
- 8. The responsibility for a sound system of internal controls rests with management and work performed by internal audit should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses that may exist. Neither should internal audit work be relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud or irregularity, should there be any, although the audit procedures have been designed so that any material irregularity has a reasonable probability of discovery. Even sound systems of internal control may not be proof against collusive fraud.
- 9. For the purposes of this review reliance was placed on management to provide internal audit with full access to staff, accounting records and transactions and to ensure the authenticity of these documents.

RELEASE OF REPORT

10. The table below sets out the history of this report.

Date draft report issued:	N/A
Date management responses recd:	N/A
Date final report issued:	October 2019



Detailed Report

FOLLOW UP

- 11. Management representations were obtained on the action taken to address the recommendations. Only limited testing has been carried out to confirm these management representations.
- 12. The following details of recommendations are included in this report: outstanding / overdue, extension of time to be agreed and closed with risk accepted.

Outstanding / Overdue

Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
ACO / ERP Systems and Development Manager	NSC1906 Enact Replacement Project	Management to ensure that a local installation of the Apex development tool is completed as soon as possible so that the relevant forms and other tools that are to replace the Enact system can be developed and implemented in a timely manner.	2	Apex has been installed onto our test database to allow development to begin. Some minor configuration is being finalised this week. Whilst this development is underway, Apex will be installed into our change controlled environment and production environment databases. This will not affect the forms development as these can be extracted from the test database and uploaded into each of the new environments	23/11/18	01/06/19	03/01/19	APEX is installed in the test environment and developed ready to be deployed to the PROD environment once final testing has taken place. The development has been delayed as awaiting a solution to permit Single Sign On to the application. This is currently under investigation with the third party supplier. However the ERP Systems team have now all left the employment of the Constabulary and as this is a crucial



Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
				without the need to recreate the whole form.				system the risks of having no support from the ERP Systems team are significant, as such will continue to use EnAct in the short term.
ACO / HR Service Centre Manager	NSC1909 Key Financial Controls	A flagging system be developed to identify Iforms that are not being processed promptly by Line Managers, so that these can be escalated accordingly.	2	A solution will be implemented upon "Go- Live". The nature of this will develop as the IForms project continues.	30/09/19		08/10/19	I-Forms remains delayed, and therefore this has not been progressed any further.



Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
DCC / Head of Programme Management Office	NSC1910 Capital Programme	Business case template documentation be used consistently across both forces for all capital bids, to ensure consistency of information presented and decisions made and recorded.	2	The PMO are currently reviewing the Business Case template for re- design. This review will ensure the use of a consistent template that captures all relevant information in order to prioritise projects and evaluate how they are contributing to strategic priorities, thus enabling a check on benefits realisation, post implementation review etc. As key stakeholders Finance, HR and ICT will be consulted on along with PM and other internal department resources.		30/06/19	12/11/18	The templates are being updated, in line with the end of June deadline. This will be captured in meeting minutes as well, to provide a full audit trail.



Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
DCC / ERP Systems Team/ICT	NSC1915 Establishment, Capacity, Recruitment and Retention	The setting up of IT profiles at least a week in advance of the new starter commencing employment be investigated, so that the profile can be checked to ensure that it works. IT to provide the new starter with their password on the day that they start.	2	This is an issue that the business has highlighted since the launch of the ERP solution. The ERP System Team/ICT have advised that the Sailpoint Solution, which will be implemented as part of the Desktop Modernisation Project will address this issue. An update will be provided in due course.	30/09/19		12/03/19	Work is ongoing with CapGemini and looking to resolve.
DCC / Senior Complaints, Appeals and Policy Manager	NSC1917 Vetting	The Vetting Policy be reviewed, approved, and communicated.	2	The existing policy has been withdrawn as non- compliant. Now working to a seven force policy in line with APP, with local guidance. Once the national guidance is produced in September 2018, the seven force approach will be agreed and local guidance produced on this basis.	31/03/19		08/10/19	The policy is being discussed between PSD and HR, regarding the elements of reimbursements within employment contracts and what would be enforceable by law, following which consideration is to be made by Unison and the Federation, along with both Executives. This requires additional time to resolve.



Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
DCC / Head of ACU	NSC1930 Ethical Standards (Relationships Conduct)	Guidance be developed on personal relationships at work. The guidance should incorporate managing staff within close relationships at work where potential conflicts may arise.	2	The NPCC issued draft guidance for informal feedback in July 2018. Further national consultation then took place before a joint NPCC and College of Policing guidance document was published w/c 18/02/19 and is available on the COP website. Local discussions are now taking place to implement and raise awareness.	01/07/19		13/03/19	Update to be obtained from management.
DCC / Head of ACU	NSC1930 Ethical Standards (Relationships Conduct)	A standard form be developed for police staff and police officers to disclose a personal relationship at work, which is submitted to PSD for review and identification of any conditions to be imposed to manage any identified risk.	2	The NPCC issued draft guidance for informal feedback in July 2018. Further national consultation then took place before a joint NPCC and College of Policing guidance document was published w/c 18/02/19 and is available on the COP website. Local discussions are now taking place to implement and raise awareness.	01/07/19		13/03/19	Update to be obtained from management.



Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
DCC / Head of ACU	NSC1930 Ethical Standards (Relationships Conduct)	A register be developed for recording all staff personal relationships at work, which is maintained by the Professional Standards Department (PSD) and updated accordingly.	2	The NPCC issued draft guidance for informal feedback in July 2018. Further national consultation then took place before a joint NPCC and College of Policing guidance document was published w/c 18/02/19 and is available on the COP website. Local discussions are now taking place to implement and raise awareness, prior to publication.	01/07/19		13/03/19	Update to be obtained from management.
ACO / Estates Officer Statutory Maintenance	NSC2007 Maintenance	The completion of Landlords' Statutory Building Checklists be incorporated into the quarterly Estates Statutory Compliance Reports. This will help assure the Health and Safety Committee that all landlords are responding and that any issues arising are dealt with.	2	The Estates Unit will update the landlords evidence of statutory compliance into a new PFI statutory testing summary sheet will be provided for the next H&S Committee.	05/08/19		26/06/19	Update to be obtained from management.



Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
ACO / Head	NSC2008	A review of the	2	A review is being	31/07/19		16/07/19	Update to be obtained
of CP	Contracts	monitoring		undertaken with the				from management.
		processes over		stakeholders of this				
		contract 2018-005		contract to ensure that				
		be undertaken to ensure that the		contract management commensurate with the				
		service is being		value, risk and criticality				
		delivered effectively		is in place.				
		and efficiently, and						
		to ensure that						
		payments are made						
		in line with the						
		agreed contract to						
		deliver value-for-						
	NSC2012	money.	2	Period checks are	21/07/10		10/07/10	Lindoto to bo obtoined
ACO / Head of CP	Single Tender	A periodic check be undertaken to	Z	Period checks are undertaken and	31/07/19		16/07/19	Update to be obtained from management.
01 01	Actions	ensure that all STA		reminders will be issued				nom management.
		details are fully		to individuals to				
		recorded in the STA		complete the register.				
		register.						



2019/20

Extension of Time Requested

Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
DCC / Head of HR	NSC1901 Strategic Control, Corporate Governance and Whistleblowing	A form be developed for each member of the selection / promotion panel, requiring them to formally declare whether there are any potential conflicts of interest in relation to the recruitment process.	2	Action will be taken to add this detail to the shortlisting form and interview paperwork which is currently carried out by line managers. Before this is introduced, work will be undertaken to ensure that there is process to follow when a conflict is identified as well as ensuring that there is an available understanding of what is regarded as a conflict of interest for managers.	30/06/19	31/10/19	08/10/19	Work on this was initially delayed owing to the work of the Taleo project. Given the delay to this, documentation to has now been developed and will be introduced in October 2019 - the results of which will be monitored by the HR Service Centre Manager. Extension of time to be approved.
DCC / Head of HR	NSC1901 Strategic Control, Corporate Governance and Whistleblowing	HR to develop and maintain a conflicts of interest register in relation to recruitment and promotion exercises.	2	The forms outlined above will be held within HR, so that they can be referred to in case of any investigation into a recruitment process.	30/06/19	31/10/19	08/10/19	Documentation will begin to be collated from October 2019. Extension of time to be approved.



2019/20

Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
DCC / Policy, Reward and Employee Relations Manager	NSC1901 Strategic Control, Corporate Governance and Whistleblowing	A police bonus and honorarium policy be developed or incorporated into an existing staff policy, and made available to staff to refer to.	2	Work has commenced on the development of an Honorarium and Bonus policy. This will be subject to the normal consultation process.	30/09/19	31/10/19	08/10/19	A dedicated resource is working on the constabularies reward and recognition policies and practices within the Policy, Reward and Employee Relations Team. Accepting the need for consultation, it is expected that these will be ready for publication March 2020. Extension of time to be approved.



Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
DCC / Policy , Reward and Employee Relations Manager	NSC1912 Allowances	The process and methodology be reviewed for making honorarium payments, to enable transparency and compliance with Constabularies' policy.	2	A Joint Good Work Recognition Policy is being developed. The policy will standardise the process for honorariums.	31/03/19	31/03/20	08/10/19	A dedicated resource is working on the constabularies reward and recognition policies and practices within the Policy, Reward and Employee Relations Team. Accepting the need for consultation, it is expected that these will be ready for publication March 2020.
								Extension of time to be approved.
DCC / Head of Resourcing / Head of HR	NSC1915 Establishment, Capacity, Recruitment and Retention	Reports of amendments to contracts length be produced monthly, to verify whether leaving / extended and permission groups checked for appropriateness to amend.	2	The provision of reports will be scoped by the Management Information Team, and if possible, will be reviewed monthly by HR Business Partners/HR Advisors.	30/06/19	31/03/20	08/10/19	This is an area of work being picked up as part of the SSTC work stream. MI have proof of concept of being able to generate reports, which will then need to be proactively managed and reviewed by the SSTC.
								Extension of time to be approved.



Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
DCC / Head of Resourcing	NSC1915 Establishment, Capacity, Recruitment and Retention	Approval and rationale for why officers and staff are acting up be recorded for all officers/staff.	2	The new Acting and Temporary Promotions Policy will be published in the Spring of 2019. This will standardise the process and this detail will therefore be captured. Staff are already covered within other HR policies and process.	30/06/19	30/11/19	08/10/19	The policy will be published at the conclusion of the current promotion processes. There has been some delay owing to the differences in process within the two constabularies. Extension of time to be approved.
ACO / Head of Transactiona I Services	NSC1918 Transport Services – Strategy	A review be undertaken to identify potential dual workforce workers, with a system to record those identified and verify that tax implications have been addressed.	2	A notification will be issued to all Department Heads to ensure that they consider staff travelling between sites comply with the Dual Workplace HMRC guidance and maintain records of those identified.	30/06/19	22/10/09	08/10/19	This still has to be agreed and published – expected delivery 30 Sep 19. The guidance will be reissued to department heads to clarify the position, revised date 22/10/19. Extension of time to be approved.
DCC / Driver Trainer Team Leader	NSC1918 Transport Services – Strategy	Records of drivers cleared to drive Constabulary pool and hire cars be regularly maintained by the	2	There is a requirement that all staff who avail themselves of driving authorisations complete a paper application process, which requires a	30/06/19	31/01/20	08/10/19	The implementation of Chronicle is now likely to be January 2020. This delay is linked to the seven forces requirement.



Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
		Driving Training		copy of their driving				
		Unit, with proactive		licence and a DVLA print				Extension of time to be
		checks undertaken,		out of their driving				approved.
		to ensure that there		licence summary. This is				
		has not been a		held on the individual's				
		change in		personal driving folder				
		circumstances for		within the driving school.				
		cleared drivers.		A reminder to all				
				managers that have				
				access to pool cars that				
				their staff require this				
				authorisation prior to the				
				vehicles use, and/or a				
				prompt when staff book				
				pool cars via the intranet				
				that there is a				
				requirement that they				
				need to be authorised by				
				Driving School prior to				
				the vehicles use, can be				
				implemented fairly				
				swiftly. Chronicle (Driver				
				Management Unit) has				
				now been approved for				
				implementation to				
				Norfolk and Suffolk				
				Constabularies and this				
				will ensure the future				
				recording and checking of				
				drivers is more robust.				



Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
DCC / HR	NSC2006	The end of contract	2	Agreed. Access to alter	31/08/19	31/03/20	08/10/19	This is an area of work
Service	Temporary	date field be made		ERP records will be				being picked up as part
Centre	Contracts	mandatory on ERP		reviewed on a regular				of the SSTC work
Manager		for staff on fixed		basis to ensure that the				stream.
		term contracts, and		list is fit for purpose.				
		In addition, the		Changes to the ERP				Extension of time to be
		ability to amend the		system will be explored,				approved.
		contract length		but may not be feasible				
		period to be		due to cost implications.				
		restricted to		The Service Centre				
		authorised		Manager will monitor the				
		personnel.		end of contract fields on				
				ERP and ensure that end				
				dates are included.				
DCC /	NSC2006	Reports be	2	Agreed. Reports are	31/08/19	31/03/20	08/10/19	MI have developed a
Managemen	Temporary	produced monthly		available and will be run				report, which will be
t Information	Contracts	for line managers to		and distributed on a				available to line
Team/HR		review staff on		monthly basis to HR				managers in line with
Business		fixed term		Business Partners as they				changes to the SSTC.
Partners		contracts, to		are currently involved in				This will be picked up as
		monitor the length		managing the				part of the SSTC work
		of the contract,		establishment within				stream.
		potential		their individual				
		extensions required		portfolios. HR Business				Extension of time to be
		to be approved or		Partners will then work				approved.
		to terminate the		with				
		contract.		commands/departments				
				to ensure that action Is				
				taken to resolve issues				
				that arise from this. The				



Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Cor	nments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
				report will implemented August 2019.	be from				

Closed with Risk Accepted

Officers	Audit Area	Recommendation	Priority	Management Comments	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Last Update	Latest Response
ACO / Head of Transactional Services	NSC1823 Overtime, Expenses and Additional Payments	Sample testing on 10% of claims to include the validity of the claim and whether they are submitted within the guidelines.	2	The claims selected for testing are checked that they comply with the policy and rejected if they do not. With regards to the validity it had been agreed that through publication of the appropriate policy along with an understanding of potential disciplinary proceedings for non- compliance that the Constabulary would manage the remaining risk. The remaining risks should have been managed through reporting from iExpenses to identify duplicates and other information with regards to validity. A new reporting solution is	30/09/18		08/10/19	This has been considered and the risk is accepted due to the level of resource required to implement without a reporting solution, this will be resurrected with the introduction of SSTC in April 2020.

Police and Crime Commissioners for Norfolk and Suffolk and Chief Constables of Norfolk and Suffolk Constabularies Follow Up Review



		now in place and being			
		configured and an early			
		priority is to design reports			
		for Finance, PSD and Heads			
		of Departments to manage			
		this going forward.			
		This Audit report along with			
		recent PSD issues raised has			
		led to the conclusion the risks			
		are higher than can be			
		tolerated and as a result has			
		been added to the			
		Constabulary risk register.			

Page 21

2019/20

APPENDIX D Self-assessment of good practice

Aud			Partly	No
	it Committee purpose and governance			
1	Does the authority have a dedicated audit committee?	X		
2	Does the audit committee report directly to full council?	See 6		
	(applicable to local government only)	below		
3	Do the terms of reference clearly set out the purpose of the	X (but		
	committee in accordance with CIPFA's Position Statement?	would		
		need to		
		check)		
4	Is the role and purpose of the audit committee understood	X (by		
	and accepted across the authority?	PCC and		
		CC. Ask		
		if Police		
		Account		
		ability		
		Forum is		
		aware		
		of the		
		role at		
		meeting		
		s under 6)		
5	Does the audit committee provide support to the authority	X X		
	in meeting the requirements of good governance?			
6	Are the arrangements to hold the committee to account for	Х		
	its performance operating satisfactorily?	(annual		
		report		
		to PCC		
		and CC.		
		Meeting		
		s to be		
		arrange		
		d with		
		both to		
		discuss)		
Fun	ctions of the committee			
7	Do the committee's terms of reference explicitly address all			
	the core areas identified in CIPFA's Position Statement?			

	good governance	Х		
,, ,,	 assurance framework, including partnerships and 	Х		
	collaboration arrangements			
	internal audit	Х		
	external audit	х		
	financial reporting	X		
		X		
	value for money or best value	X		
	 counter fraud and corruption 	X		
		(throug		
		h TIAA)		
	 supporting the ethical framework 	Х (
		through		
		TIAA.		
		PSD		
		present		
		ation on		
		30/7/19		
		. Ask CC		
		for view		
		on PSD		
		when		
		meeting		
8	Is an annual evaluation undertaken to assess whether the	Х		
	committee is fulfilling its terms of reference and that	(annual		
	adequate consideration has been given to all core areas?	report)		
9	Has the audit committee considered the wider areas	Not		
	identified in CIPFA's Position Statement and whether it	sure,		
	would be appropriate for the committee to undertake	need to		
	them?	check.		
10	Where coverage of core areas has been found to be limited,	N/A (
	are plans in place to address this?	limited		
		IA		
		reports		
		are		
		followe		
		d up)		
11	Has the committee maintained its advisory role by not taking	X		
	on any decision-making powers that are not in line with its			
	core purpose?			
Men	nbership and support			
Mem 12	Has an effective audit committee structure and composition			
	Has an effective audit committee structure and composition of the committee been selected?			
	Has an effective audit committee structure and composition of the committee been selected? This should include:			
	Has an effective audit committee structure and composition of the committee been selected?	X		
	Has an effective audit committee structure and composition of the committee been selected? This should include:	X X X		

least one independent member (where it is not already a mandatory requirement) independent dent 13 Have independent members appointed to the committee been recruited in an open and transparent way and approved by the full council or the PCC and chief constable as appropriate for the organisation? X 14 Does the chair of the committee have appropriate knowledge and skills? X 15 Are arrangements in place to support the committee with briefings and training? X 16 Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory? X 17 Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs? X 18 Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided? X 19 Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work? X 20 Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members? X 21 Does the committee mapper end end are the end and to plane with the responsible officers? 21 Does the committee mapper end end is with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of engagement from all the members? X 22 Does the committee mapper end of a duit findin				
already a mandatory requirement)dentdent13Have independent members appointed to the committee been recruited in an open and transparent way and approved by the full council or the PCC and chief constable as appropriate for the organisation?X14Does the chair of the committee have appropriate knowledge and skills?X15Are arrangements in place to support the committee with briefings and training?X16Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?X17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee nave good working relations of audit findings, risks and the CFOs?X22Does the committee ortained feedback on its performance rare magers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X23Has the committee waluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and attraction?		8	X all	
13 Have independent members appointed to the committee been recruited in an open and transparent way and approved by the full council or the PCC and chief constable as appropriate for the organisation? X 14 Does the chair of the committee have appropriate knowledge and skills? X 15 Are arrangements in place to support the committee with briefings and training? X 16 Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory? X 17 Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs? X 18 Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee or relying on its work? X 19 Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from hose interacting with the committee or relying on its work? X 20 Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members? X 21 Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on? X 22 Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on? X 23 Has the committee waluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation? X		least one independent member (where it is not	indepen	
13 Have independent members appointed to the committee been recruited in an open and transparent way and approved by the full council or the PCC and chief constable as appropriate for the organisation? X 14 Does the chair of the committee have appropriate knowledge and skills? X 15 Are arrangements in place to support the committee with briefings and training? X 16 Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory? X 17 Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs? X 18 Is adquet secretariat and administrative support to the committee or relying on its work? X 19 Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work? X 20 Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members? X 21 Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on? X 22 Does the committee with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the responsible officers? X 23 Has the committee waluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation? X <td></td> <td>already a mandatory requirement)</td> <td>dent</td> <td></td>		already a mandatory requirement)	dent	
been recruited in an open and transparent way and approved by the full council or the PCC and chief constable as appropriate or the organisation?X14Does the chair of the committee have appropriate knowledge and skills?X15Are arrangements in place to support the committee with briefings and training?X16Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?X16Has the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?X17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and the CPCs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee nay good soft with avide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of adult findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee or relying on its work?X23Has the committee waluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X	13		X	
approved by the full council or the PCC and chief constable as appropriate for the organisation?X14Does the chair of the committee have appropriate knowledge and skills?X15Are arrangements in place to support the committee with briefings and training?X (mornin g sessions)16Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?X (assess ment of skills done in 2018 and to be be update in 1217Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X (meeting gwith PCC and CC cond CC cond cC cond19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X (meetin gwith PCC cond CC and action plans with the responsible officers?X (Lean and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X (Lean and and action plans with the responsible officers?20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X (Lean and and action plans with the responsible officers?21Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X (Lean and and action plans with the responsible officers?23	10			
as appropriate for the organisation? Image: Construct of the committee have appropriate knowledge and skills? 14 Does the chair of the committee have appropriate knowledge and skills? X 15 Are arrangements in place to support the committee with briefings and training? X 16 Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory? X 16 Has the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs? X 17 Does the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work? X 19 Has the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and analyses, including strange of leaders and analyses, including strange of leaders and analyses in cluding strange of leaders and analyses including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers? X 20 Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members? X Image: leaders and analyses, including strange of leaders and action plans with the responsible officers? 21 Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on? X Image: leaders and analyses, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers? 22				
14 Does the chair of the committee have appropriate knowledge and skills? X X X 15 Are arrangements in place to support the committee with briefings and training? X (mornin g sessions) 16 Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory? X (assess ment of skills done in 2018 and to be quipdate in 12 months) 17 Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs? X X 18 Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided? X X X 19 Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work? X X Image: second committee dot and committee or relying on its and and managers, including discussion and engagement from all the members? X Image: second committee dot and committee relying on its and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers? X Image: second committee dot committee relying on its and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers? X Image: second committee relying con its and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks				
knowledge and skills?15Are arrangements in place to support the committee with briefings and training?X (mornin g sessions)16Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?X (assess (assess)16Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?X (assess) (asses)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOS?X (meeting)18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provide?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee made recommentation for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and structurX				
15 Are arrangements in place to support the committee with briefings and training? X 16 Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory? X 16 Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory? X 17 Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs? X 18 Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided? X 19 Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work? X 20 Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members? X 21 Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers? X 22 Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on? X 23 Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation? X	14	Does the chair of the committee have appropriate	X	
briefings and training?(mornin g sessions)16Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?X (assess ment of skills done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOS?X X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and structur		knowledge and skills?		
briefings and training?(mornin g sessions)16Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?X (assess ment of skills done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOS?X X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structurX	15	Are arrangements in place to support the committee with	Х	
g sessions)16Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?X (assess ment of skills done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X x18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and taction plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and art and structur			(mornin	
Image: series of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?XIf against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?XImage: series of the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?XImage: series of the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee or relying on its work?XImage: series of the committee rengage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?Image: series of the committee work and control and are these acted on?XImage: series of the committee work work renormed at the series acted on?XImage: series of the committee work work renormed at the series acted on?XImage: series of the organisation?			•	
16Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?X (assess ment of skills done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)X17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Its adequate scoretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structurX			-	
against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?(assess ment of skills done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur			sessions	
against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?(assess ment of skills done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur)	
to be satisfactory?ment of skills done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur	16	Has the membership of the committee been assessed	Х	
skills done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)skills done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structurX		against the core knowledge and skills framework and found	(assess	
skills done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)skills done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structurX		to be satisfactory?	ment of	
done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)done in 2018 and to be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?XEffectiveness of the committeeX19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur			skills	
2018 and to be update in 12 months)2018 and to be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X (meetin g with PCC and CC to be arrange d)X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur				
and to be update in 12 months)and to be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?XEffectiveness of the committeeX19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee magage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur				
be update in 12 months)be update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOS?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?XEffectiveness of the committeeX19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur				
update in 12 months)update in 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?X19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X (Lean and and structur23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur				
In 12 months)In 12 months)17Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?XEffectiveness of the committeeX19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and structur			be	
Image: months			update	
17 Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs? X 18 Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided? X 19 Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work? X 20 Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members? X 21 Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on? X 22 Has the committee waluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation? X			in 12	
17 Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs? X 18 Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided? X 19 Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work? X 20 Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members? X 21 Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on? X 22 Does the committee waluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation? X			months)	
people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFOs?X18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?XEffectiveness of the committeeX19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur	17	Does the committee have good working relations with key		
audit and the CFOs?Image: CFOs?18Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?XEffectiveness of the committeeX19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur	17	• •	^	
18 Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided? X 19 Effectiveness of the committee X 19 Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work? X 20 Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members? X 21 Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers? X 22 Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on? X 23 Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation? X (Lean and structur)				
committee provided?Image: Committee provided?Effectiveness of the committeeX19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur	10			
Effectiveness of the committeeX19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur	18		X	
Image: 19Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?X (meetin g with PCC and CC to be arrange d)20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and structur		committee provided?		
from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?(meetin g with PCC and CC to be arrange d)20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and structur		Effectiveness of the committee		
from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?(meetin g with PCC and CC to be arrange d)20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and structur	19	Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance	X	
work?g with PCC and CC to be arrange d)20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur		•		
PCC and CC to be arrange d)PCC and CC to be arrange d)20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur				
CC to be arrange d)CC to be arrange d)20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur		WOIK!	-	
arrange d)arrange d)20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur				
d)20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and and structur			CC to be	
20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and structur			arrange	
20Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all the members?X21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and structur			d)	
engagement from all the members?Image: Comparison of a comparison of comparison of a	20	Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and		
21Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?X22Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and structur				
and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with the responsible officers?Let all the second s	21		x	
and action plans with the responsible officers?Image: Comparison of the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?X23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and structur	~ ~ ~	•••••	^	
22 Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on? X 23 Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation? X (Lean and structur				
improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?Improvement of governance, risk and control and are these of the organisation?23Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?X (Lean and structur				
acted on? Image: Constraint of the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation? X (Lean and structur	22	Does the committee make recommendations for the	X	
acted on? Image: Constraint of the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation? X (Lean and structur		improvement of governance, risk and control and are these		
23 Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation? X (Lean and structur				
value to the organisation? and structur	22		X (Lean	
structur	23	-		
		value to the organisation?		
eu			ed	

		1	
		approac	
		hed.	
		Attenda	
		nce as	
		only	
		necessa	
		ry.	
		Positive	
		view	
		from	
		TIAA.	
		Ask PCC	
		and CC	
		in	
		meeting	
		s)	
24	Does the committee have an action plan to improve any	Action	
	areas of weakness?	plan to	
		be	
		drafted	
		on	
		conclusi	
		on of	
		this	
		exercise	
25	Does the committee publish an annual report to account for	Х	
	its performance and explain its work?		
L		· ·	

Norfolk Police

Action plan to accompany Audit Committee self assessment of effectiveness

Reference in questionnaire	Action	Ву	When
3.	Check that ToR are in accordance with		
	Cipfa's Position Statement	Jill Penn	31/12/19
4.	Ask PCC and CC if the Police		
	Accountability Forum is aware of the		
	Committee's role	Rob Bennett	31/12/19
6.	Meet PCC and CC to discuss the		
	Committee's Annual Report	Rob Bennett	31/12/19
7.	Ask CC for view on PSD	Rob Bennett	31/12/19
9.	Check that wider areas of Cipfa's		
	Position Statement are covered	Jill Penn	31/12/19
16.	Update members' skills and experience		
	Assessment	Members	31/12/20
19/23.	Discuss Committee's performance		
	With PCC and CC	Rob Bennett	31/12/19
24.	Draft action plan	Rob Bennett	31/10/19





Audit Committee Forward Work Plan

14 January 2020

Welcome and Apologies	
Declarations of Interest	
Minutes of meeting 22 October 2019	
Audit Committee Terms of Reference	Report from CFO
Internal Audit	Reports from Head of Internal
2019/20 Plan update	Audit
2020/21 Internal Audit Plan (draft)	
External Audit	Reports from Director, E&Y
2018/19 Accounts Annual Audit Letter	
2019/20 Audit Plan	
Treasury Management	Report from CFO
2019/20 Half Year Update	
2020/21 Strategy	
Strategic Risk Register Update	Report from Chief Exec and CC
Forward Work Plan	Report from CFO

14 April 2020

Welcome and Apologies	
Declarations of Interest	
Minutes of meeting 14 January 2020	
Internal Audit	Reports from Head of Internal
2019/20 Progress Report and Follow Up Review	Audit
2019/20 Annual Report	
2020/21 Internal Audit Plan (Final)	
Annual Governance Statement 2018/19	Report from CFO
External Audit	Report from Director, E&Y
Plan 2019/20	
Strategic Risk Register update	Report from Chief Exec and CC
Forward Work Plan	Report from CFO

9 June 2020 Private (informal meeting)

Draft Statements of Accounts 2019/20	Reports from CFO

28 July 2020

Welcome and Apologies	
Declarations of Interest	
Minutes of meeting 14 April 2020	
Internal Audit	
2020/21 Progress Report (including outstanding	Report from Head of Internal
reports from 2019/20)	Audit
Final Accounts 2019/20 Approval including	Reports from CFO and E&Y
External Auditor's Audit Results Report	Reports from Cr O and E&T
Forward Work Plan	Report from CFO

20 October 2020

Welcome and Apologies	
Declarations of Interest	
Minutes of meeting 28 July 2020	
Internal Audit	Report from Head of Internal
2020/21 Plan update and follow-up Report	Audit
Strategic Risk Register update	Report from Chief Exec and CC
Forward Work Plan	Report from CFO

Report Author Jill Penn

Jill Penn Chief Finance Officer - OPCCN